## Pyramidalization of  $Gd_3N$  inside a  $C_{80}$  cage. The synthesis and structure of  $Gd_3N@C_{80}$

Steven Stevenson,\*<sup>a</sup> J. Paige Phillips, $\phi$  Jon E. Reid, $\phi$  Marilyn M. Olmstead,<sup>c</sup> Sankar Prasad Rath<sup>c</sup> and Alan L. Balch\*<sup>c</sup>

aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, MS 39406-0001, USA. E-mail: Steven.Stevenson@usm.edu; Fax: (601)-266-6075; Tel: (601)-266-5142

bLuna Innovations, 2851 Commerce Street, Blacksburg, VA 24060, USA.

E-mail: phillipsp@lunainnovations.com; Fax: (540)-951-0760; Tel: (540)-961-4529

cDepartment of Chemistry, University of California, One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616, USA.

Fax: (530) 752 8995; Tel: (530) 752 0941; E-mail: albalch@ucdavis.edu

Received (in Columbia, MO) 10th August 2004, Accepted 29th October 2004 First published as an Advance Article on the web 16th November 2004

The X-ray crystal structure of  $Gd_3N@C_{80}$  $Ni<sup>H</sup>$ -(OEP).1.5(benzene) shows that the Gd<sub>3</sub>N unit within the  $I<sub>b</sub>$  $C_{80}$  cage is pyramidal, whereas  $Sc_3N@C_{80}$ ,  $Sc_3N@C_{78}$ ,  $Sc_3N@C_{68}$ , Lu<sub>3</sub>N@C<sub>80</sub> and Sc<sub>2</sub>ErN@C<sub>80</sub> have planar M<sub>3</sub>N units.

Chelated gadolinium complexes dominate the field of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) contrast agents due to the high magnetic moment of  $Gd^{3+}$ . Recent developments have shown that gadolinium ions encapsulated within fullerene cages, which are subsequently functionalized to impart water solubility, are also effective MRI relaxation agents even though water molecules cannot directly coordinate the  $Gd^{3+}$  ions.<sup>2,3</sup> The recent discovery of the  $M_3N@C_{80}$  family of endohedrals, which contain planar  $M_3N$ units, by Dorn and coworkers suggests that it should be possible to obtain  $Gd_3N@C_{80}$ , which would contain three paramagnetic  $Gd^{3+}$ ions within a single cage.<sup>4</sup> However, will three such large ions fit within the cage and what will be the structure of the  $Gd<sub>3</sub>N$  unit within the cage? For comparison, computations by Kobayashi *et al.* have suggested that the  $\rm \dot{L}a_3N$  unit in the hypothetical  $\rm La_3N@C_{80}$ will be pyramidal with the N atom 1.10 Å out of the La<sub>3</sub> plane.

Carbon soot containing  $Gd_3N@C_{80}$  was produced in an electricarc fullerene reactor as described earlier<sup>4</sup> with graphite rods packed with 3 mole  $\%$  Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The resulting soot was extracted with o-xylene, and the filtered extract was purified by HPLC. The chromatogram of the initial extract is shown in Fig. 1. The  $Gd_3N@C_{80}$  fraction eluting between 37 and 41 min was collected and further purified on a  $20 \text{ mm} \times 250 \text{ mm}$  BuckyPrep column with  $o$ -xylene as eluent.

To ascertain its purity, the isolated sample of  $Gd_3NC_{80}$  was injected into a Buckyclutcher column (10 mm  $\times$  250 mm, Regis) to demonstrate the absence of other fullerene peaks. The HPLC trace for the purified sample is shown in Fig. 2.



Fig. 1 HPLC chromatogram of extract on a PBB column with 1 mL injection volume, 6 mL min<sup> $-1$ </sup> of  $o$ -xylene, and 360 nm UV detection.

The mass spectrum of this sample, which is shown in Fig. 3, reveals the expected isotope pattern for  $Gd_3N@C_{80}$  at  $m/z = 1446$ .

In order to obtain suitably ordered crystals of  $Gd_3N@C_{80}$  we allowed a benzene solution of  $Ni<sup>II</sup>(OEP)$  (OEP is the dianion of octaethylporphyrin) to slowly diffuse into a benzene solution of the endohedral. As we have previously shown, co-crystallization of endohedral fullerenes with  $M^H(OEP)$  is a useful method of crystallization that produces sufficient orientational order of the fullerene for single crystal X-ray diffraction.<sup>4,6</sup> The structural results obtained from black crystals of  $Gd_3N@C_{80}$ .  $(NiC_{36}H_{44}N_4)\bullet 1.5(C_6H_6)$  are shown in Fig. 4. The Ni<sup>II</sup>(OEP) molecule resides on a mirror plane.

Eighty carbon atoms with 0.50 occupancy form the fullerene cage, which has non-crystallographic  $I<sub>h</sub>$  symmetry. Since the crystallographic mirror plane does not coincide with any of the mirror planes of the fullerene, the crystal symmetry produces a second orientation of the cage. Only one orientation is shown in Fig. 4. The contents of the cage are also disordered with respect to



Fig. 2 HPLC chromatogram of purified Gd<sub>3</sub>N@C<sub>80</sub> on a Buckyclutcher column with 100 µL injection volume, 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup> of chloroform, and 360 nm UV detection.



Fig. 3 MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of purified  $Gd_3N@C_{80}$ .



Fig. 4 A view of  $Gd_3N@C_{80}\bullet(NiC_{36}H_{44}N_4)\bullet1.5(C_6H_6)$ .

the mirror plane. In addition there is severe disorder in the Gd positions, which is a consequence of multiple shallow potential minima. Fig. 5 shows the major set of three gadolinium atoms (Gd1, Gd2, and Gd3), each with a refined occupancy of 0.4098(12) vs. 0.50 for full occupancy, and anisotropic thermal parameters. The refinement included five other gadolinium atoms with freely refined occupancies ranging from 0.020(2) to 0.034(2) and isotropic thermal parameters which are not included in Fig. 5. The central nitrogen atom is disordered over two sites, N1a and N1b with refined occupancies of 0.309(9) and 0.191(9), respectively. Only N1a is shown in Fig. 5.

As Fig. 5 shows, the gadolinium atoms are positioned over the centers of hexagons in the fullerene cage. The three Gd-to-ringcenter distances are similar: 2.050 Å for Gd1, 2.001 Å for Gd2, and 1.952 Å for Gd3. The Gd<sub>3</sub>N unit is pyramidal with N1a 0.522(8) Å out of the Gd<sub>3</sub> plane. Likewise, at the minor site, N1b is  $0.463 \text{ Å}$ from the  $Gd_3$  plane. Despite significant variations in the cage size and geometry in the endohedral fullerenes,  $Sc_3N\ddot{\omega}C_{80}$ ,<sup>4</sup>  $Sc_3N@C_{78}$ <sup>6</sup> and  $Sc_3N@C_{68}$ <sup>7</sup> the Sc<sub>3</sub>N unit remains planar in each. Similarly, the somewhat larger  $ErSc<sub>2</sub>N$  and  $Lu<sub>3</sub>N$  units in  $ErSc<sub>2</sub>N@C<sub>80</sub>$  and  $Lu<sub>3</sub>N@C<sub>80</sub>$  are also planar.<sup>8,9</sup> The differences in pyramidalization of the nitrogen atom in these endohedrals may be simply a result of the variations in the sizes of the metals involved.  $Gd^{3+}$  (ionic radius 1.08 Å) is significantly larger than either Sc<sup>3+</sup> (ionic radius 0.88 Å) or  $Lu^{3+}$  (ionic radius 1.00 Å).<sup>†</sup>

## Notes and references

 $\dagger$  Crystal data: A black parallelepiped of Gd<sub>3</sub>N@C<sub>80</sub>.(NiC<sub>36</sub>H<sub>44</sub>N<sub>4</sub>).1.5  $(C_6H_6)$  was obtained by diffusion of a benzene solution of Ni<sup>II</sup>(OEP) into a benzene solution of  $Gd_3N@C_{80}$  and formed in the monoclinic space group C2/m with  $a = 25.2636(6), b = 15.0802(6), c = 19.7261(5)$  Å,  $\beta$ 95.098(2) at 12(2) K with  $Z = 4$ . Refinement of 12415 reflections, and 633



Fig. 5 A view of  $Gd_3N@C_{80}$  that emphasizes the pyramidal nature of the Gd3N group. Selected distances; Gd1–N1a, 2.038(8), Gd2–N1a, 2.085(4), Gd3–N1a, 2.117(5), ranges of Gd–C distances: Gd1–C53, 2.344(13) to Gd1–C68, 2.600(14); Gd2–C21, 2.402(10) to Gd2–C28, 2.482(12); Gd3– C7, 2.439(12) to Gd3–C18, 2.481(18) Å. Selected angles; Gd1–N1a–Gd2, 111.6(2); Gd1–N1a–Gd3, 119.7(3); Gd2–N1a–Gd3, 110.3(3)*u*.

parameters, yielded  $wR2 = 0.198$  for all data and a conventional  $R_1$  = 0.063 based on 8409 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ . The largest peak and hole in the final difference map are 2.327 and  $-1.443$  eÅ<sup> $-3$ </sup>. Atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. CCDC 247597. See http:// www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b4/b412338g/ for crystallographic data in .cif or other electronic format.

- 1 P. Caravan, J. J. Ellison, T. J. McMurry and R. B. Lauffer, Chem. Rev., 1999, 99, 2293.
- 2 M. Mikawa, H. Kato, M. Okumura, M. Narazaki, Y. Kanazawa, N. Miwa and H. Shinohara, Bioconjugate Chem., 2001, 12, 510.
- 3 R. D. Bolskar, A. F. Benedetto, L. O. Husebo, R. E. Price, E. F. Jackson, S. Wallace, L. J. Wilson and J. M. Alford, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 5471.
- 4 S. Stevenson, G. Rice, T. Glass, K. Harich, F. Cromer, M. R. Jordan, J. Craft, E. Hadju, R. Bible, M. M. Olmstead, K. Maitra, A. J. Fisher, A. L. Balch and H. C. Dorn, Nature, 1999, 401, 55.
- 5 K. Kobayshi, Y. Sano and S. Nagase, J. Comp. Chem., 2001, 13, 1353.
- 6 M. M. Olmstead, A. de Bettencourt-Dias, J. C. Duchamp, S. Stevenson, D. Marciu, H. C. Dorn and A. L. Balch, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 2001, 40, 1223.
- 7 M. M. Olmstead, H. M. Lee, J. C. Duchamp, S. Stevenson, D. Marciu, H. C. Dorn and A. L. Balch, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 900.
- 8 M. M. Olmstead, A. de Bettencourt-Dias, J. C. Duchamp, S. Stevenson, H. C. Dorn and A. L. Balch, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 12220.
- 9 S. Stevenson, H. M. Lee, M. M. Olmstead, C. Kozikowski, P. Stevenson and A. L. Balch, Chem. Eur. J., 2002, 8, 4528.